Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 54, Issue 9, No.1, September : 2025

ADVANCEMENT IN FLAT PLATE COLLECTOR: ENERGY EFFICIENCY, MODELING AND APPLICATIONS

Mr. Ajinkya Mohan Bhosale, M. Tech Scholar, Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara, Maharashtra, India
Mr. Suhas Prakashrao Patil, Assistant Professor, Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara, Maharashtra, India
Mr. Suraj Sajjan Ghadage, Assistant Professor, Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara, Maharashtra, India
Dr.Avinash Namdev Khadtare, HOD, Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Flat plate collectors (FPCs) are among the most widely deployed solar thermal technologies due to their simple design, cost-effectiveness, and suitability for low- to medium-temperature applications such as domestic hot water, space heating, and small-scale industrial processes. This review provides a comprehensive assessment of the design, working principles, performance enhancement methods, applications, challenges, and future directions of FPCs. Key developments include advanced absorber coatings, optimized glazing, improved heat transfer fluids, and the integration of nanofluids and phase change materials, all of which have contributed to higher thermal efficiency and reliability. Despite these improvements, challenges remain, particularly in terms of thermal losses, material durability, large surface area requirements, and limited applicability in high-temperature operations. Recent trends indicate growing opportunities in hybrid photovoltaic–thermal systems, AI-based control strategies, advanced insulation materials, and integration with district heating and industrial solar heat projects. The review highlights that future progress in FPC technology will depend on technological innovation, improved system integration, and supportive policy frameworks. Overall, FPCs are expected to remain a key component of renewable energy systems, provided ongoing research continues to address existing limitations and expand their role in sustainable energy transitions.

1. Introduction

The growing global demand for energy, coupled with pressing environmental concerns over greenhouse gas emissions, underscores the urgent need for sustainable and renewable energy solutions. Solar thermal technology, specifically flat plate solar collectors (FPCs), has emerged as a compelling contender due to its structural simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and adaptability for both domestic and industrial applications. FPCs harness solar irradiance and convert it into usable thermal energy, primarily for water heating, space heating, and process heat—making them a cornerstone of decentralized and low-carbon energy strategies. [1,2]

Despite their advantages, flat plate collectors face inherent limitations in thermal efficiency, largely driven by heat losses through conduction, convection, and radiation. Recent research efforts have therefore emphasized enhancing collector performance through innovative material science (e.g., selective coatings and nanofluids), optimized geometric designs, and improved thermal modeling and simulation frameworks. [1,3,4]

This review aims to synthesize and critically assess the recent developments in enhancing the energy efficiency of flat plate solar collectors, the application of modeling and simulation techniques for design optimization, and their evolving application landscape across residential, commercial, and hybrid energy systems. By consolidating current progress and identifying persisting knowledge gaps, this work provides a structured foundation for future research and technology deployment in the realm of solar thermal energy.

2. Literature Review:

- Kalaiarasi G. et al.: Compared SAHs with and without sensible heat storage (copper tubes with synthetic oil). Found maximum efficiency 67.7% at 0.028 kg/s in Madurai. Integrated heat storage significantly improved performance.
- Pradyumna Kumar Choudhury et al.: Studied SAHs for residential heating. Highlighted issues like space constraints, demand–supply mismatch, and temperature variation. Suggested modular, region-specific designs for wider use.
- Ho-Ming Yeh et al.: Investigated parallel barriers in SAHs. Efficiency improved when barriers were placed at the centerline, increasing air velocity and heat transfer. More barriers gave higher efficiency gains.
- K. Altfeld et al.: Proposed net exergy flow concept to optimize SAHs. Showed that designs with high heat transfer and low friction losses maximize performance.
- Mohammad Ansari et al.: Optimized ribbed surface SAHs using genetic algorithms. Ribs enhanced efficiency by >9% at low air flow rates but caused pressure losses at higher flows.
- R.C. Wang et al.: Analyzed double-pass SAHs with recycle. Found improved efficiency compared to single-pass, as recycle increased air velocity and convective heat transfer.
- C.W. Yeh et al.: Developed multi-pass SAHs with external recycling. Results showed enhanced heat transfer rate, as recycling compensated for temperature drop and boosted velocity.
- Santosh Vyas et al.: Tested three absorber types—plane, transverse V-ribs, inclined V-ribs—under simulated solar radiation. Found efficiencies of 14.91%, 17.24% and 20.04%, with inclined V-ribs performing best.
- Foued Chabane et al.: Experimented on smooth plate SAHs in Algeria. Found max efficiency 58.3% at 0.0202 kg/s. Performance depended strongly on flow rate and surface properties.
- M.T. Baissi et al.: Studied delta-shaped vortex baffles. Achieved up to 6.9× heat transfer and 45× friction enhancement. Developed predictive correlations for heat transfer and friction factor.
- Saket Kumar et al.: Investigated double flow packed bed SAHs with wire mesh. Achieved maximum efficiency 60.11% at 25 mm bed height. Showed better results than smooth or finned designs.
- Tong-Tshien Lin et al.: Examined effect of collector aspect ratio (L/B). Found efficiency increases with higher aspect ratio due to higher velocity, but noted higher fan power requirements.
- B.N. Prasad et al: Tested absorber plates with wire roughness. Found heat transfer doubled, but friction factor increased four times, highlighting trade-off between gain and losses.
- Irfan Kurtbas et al.: Designed new SAH geometries with extended flow paths. Found higher turbulence and efficiency with increased mass flow rate. Exergy loss decreased as efficiency improved.

3. Technical Fundamentals of Flat Plate Collectors

Flat plate solar collectors (FPCs) are among the most widely deployed solar thermal devices due to their structural simplicity, low cost, and versatility in applications ranging from domestic hot water to industrial process heat. Their design typically consists of four essential components: the absorber plate, transparent glazing, thermal insulation and the working fluid transport system.[5]

3.1 Absorber Plate

The absorber plate is the core heat-collecting surface, usually fabricated from high-thermal-conductivity materials such as copper or aluminum. It is coated with selective surfaces (e.g., black chrome, TiNOx) to maximize solar radiation absorption while minimizing infrared re-radiation losses. Recent studies report efficiency improvements of up to 10–15% using advanced nanostructured coatings and graphene-based absorbers.[1]

3.2 Transparent Cover (Glazing)

The transparent cover reduces convective and radiative heat losses while allowing maximum transmission of solar radiation. Glass is the most common material due to its durability and high transmissivity. Advanced glazing technologies, including low-iron glass and polymer films, have been developed to enhance solar transmittance and reduce optical losses.[6]

3.3 Insulation

Thermal insulation at the back and sides of the collector minimizes heat loss to the environment. Materials such as polyurethane foam, mineral wool, and silica aerogels are widely used. Recent innovations in nanoporous insulation materials have shown promise in further reducing conduction losses, especially for high-temperature applications.[7]

3.4 Working Fluid and Flow Configuration

The heat transfer fluid (HTF), typically water or water–glycol mixtures, carries the absorbed heat to the load. To enhance thermal performance, researchers have investigated the use of nanofluids (e.g., Al_2O_3–water, CuO–water, and carbon nanotube suspensions), which demonstrate improved thermal conductivity and convective heat transfer coefficients.[8] Flow configurations such as serpentine, parallel and riser–header arrangements also significantly influence thermal efficiency.

3.5 Performance Metrics

The thermal performance of an FPC is commonly evaluated through its instantaneous efficiency (η_i), expressed as:

$$\eta_i = \frac{Q_u}{A_c\, I_t}$$

Where Q_u is the useful heat gain (W), A_c is the collector area (m^2), and I_t is the incident solar radiation (W/m^2).[9] Efficiency depends on optical efficiency (τ_α) and the overall heat loss coefficient U_L. Analytical and experimental studies consistently report efficiencies between 40–70%, depending on design and operating conditions.

4. Energy Efficiency Improvements in Flat Plate Collectors

Enhancing the thermal efficiency of flat plate solar collectors (FPCs) has been a major research focus in recent decades. The efficiency depends primarily on optical absorption, thermal losses, and heat transfer to the working fluid. Researchers have proposed and validated multiple strategies to improve these aspects, ranging from advanced coatings to nanofluids and geometric/flow modifications

4.1 Selective Coatings and Absorber Materials

The absorber surface is critical for capturing solar radiation while minimizing radiative losses. Traditional black paint, although cost-effective, suffers from poor durability and high infrared re-radiation. To overcome this, selective coatings such as black chrome, nickel–tin oxide, and TiNOx have been developed, which can achieve solar absorptance values above 0.95 with emissivity below 0.10. Recent advances in nanostructured coatings and graphene-based films further enhance stability and high-temperature performance, improving overall efficiency by 10–15% under standard test conditions.[1]

4.2 Thermal Insulation Improvements

Heat loss through conduction and convection remains a limiting factor in FPC performance. While conventional materials such as mineral wool and polyurethane foams are widely used, they lose efficiency at higher operating temperatures. Novel insulation materials such as aerogels and vacuum insulation panels (VIPs) have been investigated to reduce heat loss, with aerogels demonstrating a 30–40% reduction in thermal conductivity compared to conventional foams.[10] These materials extend the applicability of FPCs into medium-temperature industrial processes.

4.3 Working Fluids and Nanofluids

The thermal conductivity of the heat transfer fluid significantly affects collector performance. While water and water–glycol mixtures are standard, nanofluids—suspensions of nanoparticles in base fluids—have shown remarkable potential. Studies using Al_2O_3–water, CuO–water, and carbon nanotube (CNT)-based nanofluids report efficiency improvements of 8–12% compared to water, due to enhanced heat transfer coefficients and reduced boundary layer resistance. [11-12] However, challenges remain in terms of stability, cost, and environmental impact of nanoparticle use.

4.4 Flow Configuration and Heat Transfer Enhancement

The arrangement of fluid flow channels (serpentine, parallel, riser–header) strongly influences thermal performance. CFD studies reveal that serpentine tube layouts provide higher outlet fluid temperatures but greater pressure drops, while parallel riser configurations offer uniform temperature distribution with lower hydraulic losses.[13] Moreover, the use of turbulators, twisted tapes, and micro-fins has been shown to increase convective heat transfer coefficients by up to 25%, although often at the cost of increased pumping power.[14]

4.5 Hybrid Enhancements (Phase Change Materials and PV/T Systems)

Another promising pathway is the integration of phase change materials (PCMs) and hybrid photovoltaic-thermal (PV/T) systems. PCMs incorporated at the absorber or storage level can regulate temperature fluctuations and store excess thermal energy, boost overall collector efficiency and extend usability during low-irradiance periods [15]. Hybrid PV/T–FPC systems simultaneously generate heat and electricity, with reported improvements in exergy efficiency by 15–20% compared to standalone systems [16].

5. Modeling and Simulation Approaches

Accurate modeling of flat plate solar collectors (FPCs) is essential for predicting performance, optimizing designs, and integrating them into larger renewable energy systems. Modeling approaches can broadly be classified into analytical models, numerical/CFD models and hybrid or data-driven methods.

5.1 Analytical Models

Analytical models are typically based on the Hottel–Whillier–Bliss (HWB) equation, which estimates useful heat gain (Q_u) and thermal efficiency (η) of an FPC:

$$Q_u = A_c\,[S - U_L\,(T_i - T_a)]$$

$$\eta_i = \frac{Q_u}{A_c\,I_t}$$

where A_c is the collector area, S is the absorbed solar radiation, U_L is the overall heat loss coefficient, T_i is inlet fluid temperature, and T_a is ambient temperature.[9]

These models are computationally simple and widely used in performance rating standards such as EN 12975 and ASHRAE 93-2003. However, they assume steady-state conditions and uniform flow distribution, which limits accuracy under transient or non-uniform operating conditions.[5]

5.2 Numerical and CFD-Based Models

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) has become a powerful tool for analyzing detailed heat transfer and fluid flow in FPCs. CFD allows simulation of velocity distribution, temperature gradients, and local heat transfer coefficients, providing insights beyond what analytical models can capture.

- Flow channel optimization: CFD studies comparing serpentine, parallel, and riser–header configurations reveal significant differences in outlet temperatures and pressure drops.[13]
- Turbulence promoters: Simulations of twisted tapes, V-shaped ribs, and micro-fins demonstrate 20–30% enhancement in convective heat transfer, albeit with increased pumping power.[17]
- Nanofluid modeling: CFD analyses incorporating nanoparticle-enhanced fluids predict efficiency improvements of 8–12%, consistent with experimental results.[18]

While CFD models provide detailed insights, they require high computational cost and complex boundary condition definitions.

5.3 Hybrid and Data-Driven Models

Recently, hybrid modeling approaches combining analytical formulations with CFD simulations or incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have gained traction.

- Hybrid analytical–numerical models allow faster simulation of system performance while retaining accuracy across varying conditions.[19]
- AI/ML-based models, such as Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), Support Vector Machines (SVMs), and Genetic Algorithms (GAs), have been applied to predict FPC efficiency under dynamic weather conditions. Studies report prediction accuracies above 95%, outperforming traditional regression models.[20]
- Exergy-based optimization models provide a more comprehensive assessment, considering both quantity and quality of energy delivered. These models are increasingly being used for multi-objective optimization of FPCs integrated into hybrid renewable energy systems.[21]

6. Challenges and Limitations of Flat Plate Collectors

Despite their maturity and widespread deployment, flat plate collectors face several technical, economic, and operational limitations that constrain their performance and adoption in certain contexts.

6.1 Thermal Efficiency Constraints

FPCs are well-suited for low- to medium-temperature applications (≤80 °C). However, efficiency declines sharply at higher operating temperatures due to increased convective and radiative losses from the absorber and glazing. This limits their use for medium/high-temperature industrial processes compared to evacuated tube or concentrating collectors.

6.2 Heat Losses and Durability Issues

Heat losses through edges, back insulation, and glazing remain persistent challenges. Harsh environments (dust, humidity, freeze–thaw cycles) accelerate degradation of coatings, seals, and glazing transparency, leading to performance drops over time. Corrosion and scaling in absorber tubes further affect durability in poorly maintained systems.

6.3 Large Area Requirement

Compared with concentrating technologies, FPCs require large surface areas to deliver significant heat output. In densely populated urban settings, roof-space competition with PV panels often restricts deployment potential.

6.4 Seasonal and Climatic Limitations

Performance strongly depends on solar availability and ambient conditions. In cold or cloudy climates, auxiliary heating (electric or fossil) is typically needed, reducing the net renewable contribution. Frosting, snow accumulation, and dust deposition can also reduce long-term collector performance.

6.5 Economic Barriers

While cost-competitive at scale, small-to-medium installations still face long payback periods, especially in regions with low conventional fuel prices or poor policy incentives. Operation and maintenance (O\&M) costs for cleaning, leak repair, and component replacement also discourage wider adoption in certain markets.

6.6 Integration Challenges

Integrating FPCs into existing heating networks, industrial systems, or hybrid PV/T setups requires careful design to avoid mismatches in flow rates, storage, and control strategies. In many industrial SHIP projects, integration complexity—not just collector cost—has been a barrier to scaling.

7. Future Perspectives of Flat Plate Collectors

Flat plate collectors remain a cornerstone of solar thermal technologies, but their long-term relevance will depend on innovations that enhance performance, reduce costs, and enable integration with modern energy systems. Several promising research directions and technology trends are shaping the future of FPCs:

7.1 Advanced Absorber Coatings

The development of nanostructured and spectrally selective coatings is expected to significantly reduce radiative heat losses. Emerging materials such as graphene-based films, carbon nanotube layers, and multilayer selective coatings have demonstrated higher solar absorptance (>95%) and lower emissivity compared to conventional black chrome.

7.2 Integration of Nanofluids and Phase Change Materials (PCMs)

Nanofluids continue to attract attention for their superior thermal conductivity and convective heat transfer properties. Coupling FPCs with latent heat storage using PCMs allows for smoother energy delivery, particularly in intermittent solar conditions. Recent hybrid systems show up to 20–30% improvement in daily efficiency with such integration.

7.3 Hybrid Collector Designs

Flat plate collectors are being hybridized with photovoltaic modules (PV/T systems) to simultaneously generate electricity and heat, improving overall solar energy utilization. These hybrid systems are especially valuable in urban environments where roof space is limited.

7.4 Smart Control and AI-Based Optimization

The integration of IoT sensors, AI-based control algorithms, and predictive models enables real-time optimization of collector operation, flow rates, and storage management. Such digitalization reduces O\&M costs and enhances long-term reliability of solar heating systems.

7.5 Novel Materials for Insulation and Glazing

Research into nanoporous insulation (aerogels, silica foams) and low-emissivity, anti-reflective glazing materials is addressing the problem of thermal losses and durability. Future collectors may incorporate self-cleaning, anti-soiling coatings to minimize dust accumulation in arid regions.

7.6 Integration with District Heating and Industrial Applications

Future deployment will likely emphasize solar-assisted district heating networks and solar heat for industrial processes (SHIP). Combining FPCs with medium-temperature collectors, heat pumps, or concentrating systems could enable large-scale decarbonization of industrial sectors.

8. Conclusion

Flat plate collectors (FPCs) remain one of the most widely adopted solar thermal technologies due to their simplicity, reliability and cost-effectiveness. Over the past decades, research has significantly improved their thermal efficiency, durability, and adaptability, enabling their application in domestic hot water, space heating, and low-temperature industrial processes. However, several limitations persist, including efficiency drops at higher operating temperatures, heat losses, material degradation, and space requirements. These challenges restrict their applicability in high-demand and industrial-scale applications.

Recent advancements in nanostructured absorber coatings, nanofluids, phase change materials, and hybrid PV/T systems show strong potential for improving performance and broadening applications. Moreover, smart controls, AI-driven optimization, and novel insulation/glazing materials are expected to enhance reliability and reduce maintenance costs. On a larger scale, integrating FPCs into district heating networks and industrial process heat systems could significantly contribute to global decarbonization goals. Looking ahead, the future of flat plate collectors will depend on three critical directions:

1. Technological innovation – leveraging advanced materials, hybridization, and digital optimization to improve efficiency and reliability.
2. System integration – ensuring seamless compatibility with storage, hybrid renewable systems, and industrial applications.
3. Policy and market support – implementing incentives, subsidies, and awareness campaigns to accelerate adoption, particularly in developing regions.

In conclusion, while alternative solar thermal technologies are emerging, flat plate collectors will remain central to renewable heating strategies, provided that research continues to address existing limitations and unlocks their full potential in sustainable energy systems.

References:

1. Ternenge, B. A.Zwalnan. J. S.,Caleb, N. N., Oguche, E. E. (2021). Flat Plate Solar Collectors for Process Heat: A Review. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, Vol (2), Issue (8), Page 1402-1407.
2. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_thermal_collector?utm_source (Visited 14 August 2025)
3. Alam, T., Balam, N. B., Kulkarni, K. S., Siddiqui, M. I. H., Kapoor, N. R., Meena, C. S., Kumar, A., & Cozzolino, R. (2021). Performance Augmentation of the Flat Plate Solar Thermal Collector: A Review. *Energies*, *14*(19), 6203. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14196203
4. Zaboli, M., Saedodin, S., Ajarostaghi, S. S. M., & Karimi, N. (2023). Recent progress on flat plate solar collectors equipped with nanofluid and turbulator: state of the art. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, *30*(51), 109921–109954. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29815-9
5. Kalogirou, S.A. (2014) Solar Energy Engineering: Processes and Systems. 2nd Edition, Academic Press, Millbrae, CA.

6. Sergio Manzetti, Florin Mariasiu. (2015). Electric vehicle battery technologies: From present state to future systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Volume 51, Pages 1004-1012, ISSN 1364-0321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.010
7. Sarbu, I., & Sebarchievici, C. (2018). A Comprehensive Review of Thermal Energy Storage. *Sustainability*, *10*(1), 191. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010191
8. David E. Dismukes, Gregory B. Upton. (2015). Economies of scale, learning effects and offshore wind development costs. *Renewable Energy*, Volume 83,Pages 61-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.04.002
9. John A. Duffie, William A. Beckman. (2013). Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes. Wiley Online Library. First published:2 April 2013. Print ISBN:9780470873663 |Online ISBN:9781118671603. DOI:10.1002/9781118671603
10. M.A. Alghoul, M.Y. Sulaiman, B.Z. Azmi, M.Abd. Wahab. (2005). Review of materials for solar thermal collectors. *Anti-Corrosion Methods and Materials*,; 52 (4): 199–206. https://doi.org/10.1108/00035590510603210
11. Ahmed M. Ajeena, Istvan Farkas, Piroska Víg. (2023). Performance enhancement of flat plate solar collector using ZrO2-SiC/DW hybrid nanofluid: A comprehensive experimental study. *Energy Conversion and Management: X*, Volume 20, 100458, ISSN 2590-1745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2023.100458
12. Muhammad Usman Sajid, Hafiz Muhammad Ali. (2019).Recent advances in application of nanofluids in heat transfer devices: A critical review, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*,Volume 103, Pages 556-592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.12.057
13. Andrade, Anthony Xavier & Quitiaquez, William & Toapanta Ramos, Luis. (2020). CFD Analysis of a solar flat plate collector with different cross sections. *Enfoque UTE*. 11. 99-112. http://dx.doi.org/10.29019/enfoque.v11n2.601
14. El-Sebaii, A.A. & Snani, Hela. (2010). Effect of selective coating on thermal performance of flat plate solar air heaters. Energy. 35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2009.12.037
15. Sharma, Atul & Tyagi, Vineet & Chen, C. & Buddhi, D.. (2009). Review on Thermal Energy Storage with Phase Change Materials and Applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 13. 318-345. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.10.005
16. Hossain, M. S., Kumar, L., Arshad, A., Selvaraj, J., Pandey, A. K., & Rahim, N. A. (2023). A Comparative Investigation on Solar PVT- and PVT-PCM-Based Collector Constancy Performance. *Energies*, *16*(5), 2224. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16052224
17. Kumar, Raj & Kumar, Rakesh & Kumar, Sushil & Thapa, Sashank & Sethi, Muneesh & Fekete, Gusztáv & Singh, Tej. (2022). Impact of artificial roughness variation on heat transfer and friction characteristics of solar air heating system. AEJ - Alexandria Engineering Journal. 61. 481-491. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.06.031
18. Mostafa AbdEl-Rady Abu-Zeid, Yasser Elhenawy, Mohamed Bassyouni, Thokozani Majozi, Monica Toderas, O.A. Al-Qabandi, Sameh Said Kishk. (2024). Performance enhancement of flat-plate and parabolic trough solar collector using nanofluid for water heating application. *Results in Engineering*,Volume 21, 101673, ISSN 2590-1230, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2023.101673
19. Vinod, Raj Kumar, S.K. Singh. (2018). Solar photovoltaic modeling and simulation: As a renewable energy solution. *Energy Reports*, Volume 4, Pages 701-712, ISSN 2352-4847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2018.09.008
20. A.S. Abdullah, Abanob Joseph, A.W. Kandeal, Wissam H. Alawee, Guilong Peng, Amrit Kumar Thakur, Swellam W. Sharshir. (2024). Application of machine learning modeling in prediction of solar still performance: A comprehensive survey. *Results in Engineering*, Volume 21, 101800, ISSN 2590-1230, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.101800
21. Ferreira, A. C., Silva, A., Teixeira, J. C., & Teixeira, S. (2020). Multi-Objective Optimization of Solar Thermal Systems Applied to Portuguese Dwellings. *Energies*, *13*(24), 6739. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13246739