Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 53, Issue 10, October : 2024

"Evaluating Bamboo as an Alternative Reinforcement in Concrete: A Critical Review"

[1]Mr. Pranay G More, [2]Ms. Priyanka V. Bhoir, [3]Mr. Abdulkadir D. Madni, [4]Ms. Soniya V. Kadam, [5]Dr. S. N Abhishek
[1,2,3]Student, [4]Assistant Professor, [5]Associate Professor
[1,2,3,4,5]Department of Civil Engineering
[1,2,3,4,5]Atma Malik Institute of Technology and Research, Aghai, Thane, Maharashtra

ABSTRACT
In the quest for more sustainable and environmentally friendly construction materials, bamboo has garnered significant attention as a potential alternative to conventional steel reinforcement in concrete. This critical review examines the feasibility of bamboo as a reinforcing material in concrete structures, focusing on its mechanical properties, structural behavior, and the challenges it faces in practical applications. Bamboo, known for its high tensile strength and rapid renewability, presents a compelling case for use in regions where sustainability and cost-effectiveness are paramount. However, several factors, including its natural variability, moisture absorption, susceptibility to biological degradation, and limited bonding with concrete, pose significant challenges. This review discusses various treatment methods, such as chemical coatings and thermal treatments, aimed at enhancing the durability and bonding properties of bamboo in reinforced concrete. Comparative analysis is presented between bamboo-reinforced concrete and conventional steel-reinforced concrete, highlighting areas where bamboo excels and where it falls short. The paper also addresses bamboo's environmental benefits, such as its low carbon footprint, renewable nature, and ability to reduce reliance on non-renewable resources like steel. Despite the promise bamboo holds as a sustainable material, the review identifies key limitations, such as its susceptibility to shrinkage, poor long-term durability, and the need for improved design standards. These issues must be addressed through further research and technological advancements to enhance bamboo's performance in concrete applications. The paper concludes by outlining future research directions, including the development of standardized treatment processes, advanced bamboo-concrete composites, and life-cycle assessment studies, all of which are essential for the wider adoption of bamboo-reinforced concrete in modern construction practices. This review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of bamboo’s potential and the hurdles that must be overcome for its successful integration into sustainable construction.
Keywords: Bamboo-reinforced concrete, Sustainable construction, Alternative reinforcement, Mechanical properties, Durability properties.

I. Introduction

The mechanical properties and widespread availability of bamboo in developing regions have led to its practical use as reinforcement in concrete structures. The idea of using bamboo as a sustainable alternative to steel in reinforced concrete raises important questions for builders, engineers, and researchers regarding its structural capacity, compatibility, and construction feasibility. This discussion offers a comprehensive review of existing studies, comparing the structural performance of bamboo and steel reinforcement in typical concrete structures. The focus is specifically on the use of small-diameter whole bamboo culms or split bamboo strips. Though advances in bamboo-composite materials could offer promising alternatives for reinforcement, they are only briefly mentioned here. Other applications, such as bamboo fiber reinforcement, bamboo ash admixtures, and bahareque construction, are not covered in this discussion.

Bamboo is often praised as a renewable and high-strength alternative to timber, and sometimes compared to steel for concrete reinforcement. The rapid biomass production and renewability of bamboo grown in sustainably managed plantations are undeniable benefits. However, comparing bamboo’s strength to that of steel is inaccurate. Bamboo's dry-state strength is comparable to high-

grade hardwoods, with characteristic strengths ranging between 30 and 50 MPa. Being a hollow, anisotropic natural material, bamboo's physical and mechanical properties vary greatly across its section and along the culm. The material exhibits brittle behavior under tension, and the lack of radial fibers makes it particularly weak in resisting shear and tension across the grain. Steel, by contrast, is a man-made, isotropic, and ductile material with a density of 7800 kg/m3 and a tensile yield strength of 400 to 550 MPa. Its malleability allows it to be optimized for mechanical efficiency with minimal material use, something difficult to achieve with bamboo without extensive processing.
The claim that bamboo is the "green steel" is based on its favorable strength-to-weight ratio. While small, defect-free bamboo specimens have shown tensile strengths up to 250 MPa, this is not representative of the strength typically available in larger culms, where characteristic strength is closer to 40 MPa, with a safe working stress around 16 MPa—similar to hardwoods. Bamboo's tensile modulus is about 20 GPa, or 10% of steel's modulus. Though bamboo's specific modulus in the longitudinal direction is comparable to steel, its performance in transverse directions is much weaker, comparable to materials like nylon and polystyrene. As such, bamboo’s mechanical properties and its suitability for structural applications are often misunderstood. However, in terms of embodied energy and carbon footprint, bamboo has clear advantages. The embodied energy of bamboo is much lower, at around 4–6 MJ/kg compared to 29–35 MJ/kg for medium carbon steel, and bamboo's carbon footprint is also significantly smaller at 0.25 kgCO2/kg compared to 2.2–2.8 kgCO2/kg for steel.

II. Introduction Mechanics and Behavior of Reinforced Concrete

Reinforced concrete is a composite material. The design of basic concrete sections is typically based on Bernoulli beam theory, ensuring that both equilibrium and strain compatibility are achieved. Equilibrium involves understanding the properties of the concrete and reinforcing materials, such as their modulus and strength. Strain compatibility requires that the bond between the concrete and the reinforcing materials is maintained. For non-prestressed reinforcing bars, this bond is mainly mechanical, created through interlocking with the surrounding concrete. Plain bars, which are smooth, rely mostly on friction for bonding, and any initial chemical bond is quickly surpassed, not significantly contributing to overall bond performance.

1.1 Strength

Reinforced concrete is a composite material. The design of basic concrete sections is typically based on Bernoulli beam theory, ensuring that both equilibrium and strain compatibility are achieved. Equilibrium involves understanding the properties of the concrete and reinforcing materials, such as their modulus and strength. Strain compatibility requires that the bond between the concrete and the reinforcing materials is maintained. For non-prestressed reinforcing bars, this bond is mainly mechanical, created through interlocking with the surrounding concrete. Plain bars, which are smooth, rely mostly on friction for bonding, and any initial chemical bond is quickly surpassed, not significantly contributing to overall bond performance.

1.2 Serviceability

Serviceability in concrete structures is generally assessed by member deflections and crack control, both influenced by the axial stiffness (AE) of the reinforcing material. When concrete is cracked, crack width, curvature, and deflection depend on the axial stiffness of the reinforcing bar bridging the crack. For softer reinforcing materials, the bar area needs to be increased based on the modular ratio to achieve comparable designs to those of steel-reinforced concrete.
Minimum reinforcement is essential to prevent brittle failure upon cracking. In steel-reinforced concrete, the nominal moment capacity is designed to be at least 120% of the cracking capacity ($M_n \geq 1.2M_{cr}$). Minimum reinforcement also helps in crack control, ensuring that after the initial crack, more cracks can develop rather than concentrating deformation at a single crack. For steel-reinforced concrete, a minimum reinforcement ratio of 0.33% is typically required for adequate crack control. When using materials like bamboo, more than 3.5% bonded reinforcement is necessary for effective crack control, assuming the bond between bamboo and concrete is similar to that of steel. If bonding is poor, even more bamboo reinforcement is needed.

In the case of glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) reinforced concrete, the modular ratio between steel and GFRP is about 5, meaning design is often governed by serviceability. To achieve practical designs, serviceability requirements for GFRP-reinforced concrete are usually more lenient than those for steel-reinforced concrete, especially concerning crack control. Using bamboo, with a modular ratio greater than 10, presents even more challenges, as bamboo's modulus is generally lower than that of concrete, making crack control less efficient.

1.3 Bond and Development

A key assumption in this discussion is the idea of a "perfect bond" that allows for the transfer of force between the reinforcing material and the surrounding concrete. For effective force transfer, there must be enough length of the reinforcing bar, called the development length, over which the force can be transferred from the concrete to the reinforcement. Bond force is developed through chemical adhesion, friction, and mechanical interlock between the deformations in the bar and the concrete around it.

Chemical adhesion is minimal and quickly overcome, so it is typically disregarded. The remaining bond forces consist of friction (longitudinal) and radial components. In deformed steel bars, mechanical interlock is the main method for transferring bond forces. However, for materials that are anisotropic, like bamboo, the radial component is weaker due to greater flexibility in the transverse direction, which can also reduce friction further. When using round bamboo or bamboo splints, there are minimal surface deformations to create mechanical interlock. As a result, bamboo’s bond behavior is expected to resemble that of smooth bars rather than deformed ones, relying primarily on friction for the bond between the reinforcement and concrete.

Research shows that treating bamboo splints with epoxy adhesives, such as Sikadur 32, can significantly improve their bond strength in concrete, with increases of up to 430%. Untreated bamboo typically has poor bond strength, relying mainly on friction rather than mechanical interlock, unlike deformed steel bars, which have surface deformations that improve bond. Bamboo splints coated with adhesives or materials like asphalt and sand see marked improvements in flexural performance and bond strength, but challenges remain due to bamboo’s hygroscopic nature, leading to swelling or shrinkage based on moisture content.

Bamboo’s natural variability, including its coefficient of thermal expansion, which differs from concrete, also affects its structural performance. The longitudinal thermal expansion of bamboo is much lower than that of concrete or steel, but its transverse expansion is higher, making it less predictable. Highly processed bamboo composites can reach bond strengths similar to steel, but these require intensive treatment, including drying, heat treatment, and coating with epoxy and sand. Overall, while bamboo can serve as an alternative reinforcement material, it requires significant treatment to overcome moisture-related issues and ensure consistent bond strength, complicating its potential as a low-cost, sustainable reinforcement option in concrete structures.

1.4 Bamboo-Reinforced Concrete

The use of bamboo to reinforce concrete dates back nearly a century in Southeast Asia, with early research conducted in the U.S., Europe, and Colombia. Interest grew post-World War II when the U.S. Navy explored bamboo-reinforced concrete for rapid reconstruction in Southeast Asia. Studies revealed key challenges such as high deflection, low ductility, brittle failure, and bonding issues due to cracking and swelling of bamboo. To address these, surface treatments like asphalt emulsions were recommended to enhance bond performance.

Design methodologies by researchers like Brink, Rush, and Geymayer proposed allowable tensile stresses for bamboo and highlighted its lower load capacity compared to steel. Bamboo-reinforced concrete generally requires higher reinforcement ratios (3-5%) to match the strength of steel-reinforced designs. Surface treatments, such as applying bituminous paint with sand, were advised to improve bamboo’s bond with concrete.

While bamboo reinforcement can increase the strength of beams and columns, proper surface treatment is crucial. Without it, bamboo-reinforced concrete offers little improvement over unreinforced concrete. In compression tests on bamboo-reinforced columns, the results were comparable to steel when the columns were properly confined, though the reliance on bond strength was less critical in these short test specimens.

1.5 Durability of Bamboo Reinforcement in Concrete

The durability of bamboo as reinforcement in concrete is influenced by its natural composition, including cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. These components degrade over time, particularly in high-alkali environments like concrete, where bamboo can lose up to 70% of its tensile strength within three years. Alkali exposure leads to cell structure breakdown, which weakens bamboo's structural integrity.

Water absorption and hygrothermal cycling are critical durability concerns for bamboo, causing continuous swelling and shrinkage that can lead to cracks in the concrete. Biological attacks, such as termites and fungi, pose further risks due to bamboo's high starch content and lack of decay-resistant compounds. While embedding bamboo in concrete may offer some protection, it's not enough to prevent insect or fungal attacks. Chemical treatments are necessary to protect bamboo from decay.

Additionally, bamboo decay can go unnoticed since it doesn't cause visible damage, unlike steel, which shows signs like cracking and spalling. Due to these concerns, bamboo's long-term durability in concrete structures remains questionable without proper treatment and protection.

III. Constructability and Other Issues of Concern

- Weakness Perpendicular to Fibers: Bamboo bars are prone to crushing or splitting during handling.
- Splicing: No research on splicing methods or behavior in bamboo bars, which are limited to 6m in length.
- Anchorage: Bending bamboo bars for anchorage is impractical; straight bar development is the only option.
- Congestion: Bamboo bars lead to congested designs, requiring at least 3 bar diameters of spacing.
- Floating in Concrete: Bamboo bars tend to float, requiring tying to prevent uplift.
- Laborious Pre-treatment: Special coatings and waterproofing membranes for bamboo increase complexity and cost.
- Compression Reinforcement: Bamboo's poor transverse properties make it unsuitable for compression zones.
- Creep: Bamboo exhibits creep under sustained loads, limiting its use in tensile zones.
- Fire Resistance: Bamboo's behavior at elevated temperatures is unknown and likely inferior to steel.

IV. Life Cycle Assessment of Bamboo and Steel Reinforced Concrete

Many studies promote bamboo as a "green" alternative to steel reinforcement in concrete. To evaluate this claim, a life cycle assessment (LCA) was conducted, which is a method used to assess the environmental impact of products over their entire life cycle. LCA has been applied to construction materials for over 30 years and supports certification methods like Environmental Product Declaration (EPD).

This LCA compared bamboo and steel reinforcement in a three-bay portal frame structure using the software OpenLCA and the EcoInvent V3 database. Data for bamboo materials and transport were based on previous research by Zea Escamilla and Habert. The structure was designed according to Colombian standards, with bamboo culms treated with boric acid and epoxy for enhanced bonding. The analysis revealed that bamboo-reinforced concrete produced nearly double the CO_2 emissions of steel-reinforced concrete, primarily due to the greater amount of concrete needed for bamboo structures to meet load requirements. While bamboo itself had minimal emissions, the increased concrete usage and transportation emissions outweighed the environmental benefits of replacing steel with bamboo. This finding aligns with previous research, highlighting that bamboo’s transport emissions can negate its sustainability advantages.

V. Practical Uses of Bamboo as Reinforcing Material

While the authors advise against using bamboo-reinforced concrete for primary structural members, there are specific applications where it may be practical, provided issues like durability, dimensional stability, and bonding are resolved. For example, bamboo splints or small cane could serve as crack control reinforcement in slabs on grade, given a minimum of 3% bamboo is used. These slabs are typically designed to avoid cracking or are provided with control joints for managed cracking.

Another potential use is in Light Cement Bamboo Frame (LCBF) panels, a modern technique for constructing shear walls using bamboo or metal lath, plastered with cement or lime mortar. This method is recognized by ISO 22156, as it functions well in low-stress applications. Bamboo reinforcement has also been proposed for masonry, particularly in non-seismic environments, where it can reinforce hollow-core masonry units.

Additionally, a heat-treated, densified engineered bamboo composite has been developed for concrete reinforcement. This composite offers high tensile strength and promising bond capacities, though further life cycle assessment (LCA) comparisons with steel are needed. Finally, bamboo-fiber reinforced concrete has also been demonstrated as an alternative, though it operates differently from traditional bar reinforcement and falls outside the scope of this discussion.

VI. Summary of Bamboo Reinforced Concrete

- Elastic Brittle Behavior: Bamboo lacks the ductility of steel, limiting its allowable stress and margin of safety.
- Lower Tensile Modulus and Strength: Bamboo's tensile modulus and strength are significantly lower than steel, affecting design.
- Anisotropy: Bamboo's anisotropic nature affects its thermal expansion and bond behavior with concrete.
- Thermal expansion differs from concrete and steel.
- Bamboo's dimensional stability varies between longitudinal and transverse directions.
- Susceptibility to Degradation: Bamboo is prone to degradation from hygrothermal conditions and high-alkali environments.
- Vulnerability to Biological Attack: Bamboo is susceptible to termite and fungal attacks, and embedding in concrete may not protect against rot.
- Lack of Ductility: Bamboo's lack of ductility makes it unsuitable for seismically active areas and statically indeterminate structures.
- Bonding Challenges: Ensuring bond strength between bamboo and concrete, and addressing volume changes in bamboo, are critical for performance.

VII. Conclusion

The authors argue that bamboo-reinforced concrete is not a viable or sustainable alternative to steel reinforcement. They highlight that bamboo-reinforced concrete must be designed to avoid cracking, as the bamboo reinforcement is intended to provide some degree of ductility and post-cracking reserve capacity during overload. This post-cracking behavior depends on the bond strength between bamboo and concrete, which can be improved using surface treatments. However, such treatments increase construction costs and complexity.

Moreover, the need for an "uncracked" design leads to larger concrete member dimensions, which in turn increases the demand for formwork and foundation support. Bamboo's poor durability also necessitates additional through-thickness treatments and surface treatments to improve bond strength. These treatments are labor-intensive, expensive, and often involve hazardous or toxic chemicals that pose health and safety risks during handling.

Acknowledgement

We would like to extend our heartfelt gratitude to **Atma Malik Institute of Technology and Research (AMRIT)** for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout the research endeavor.
Our sincere thanks go to the **Dr. D. D. Shinde, Principal (AMRIT),** for his invaluable guidance and support. His dedication to fostering a conducive research environment and commitment to academic excellence have been instrumental in the successful completion of this work.
We are deeply grateful to **Mr. Shailesh J. Pagar, HOD, Civil Engineering Department**, **AMRIT,** for his continuous encouragement and valuable insights during this project.
A special thank you to **Ms. Soniya V. Kadam, Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department**, **AMRIT,** for her guidance throughout the project, and to **Dr. S. N. Abhishek, Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department**, **AMRIT,** for his valuable help in conducting research and assisting in the publication of the paper.
Finally, we express our appreciation to the **anonymous reviewers and editors** for their meticulous observations, insightful comments, and constructive suggestions, which greatly enhanced the quality of this paper.

References

[1] Agarwal A, Nanda B, Maity D (2014) Experimental investigation on chemically treated bamboo reinforced concrete beams and columns. Constr Build Mater 71:610–617.
[2] Sevalia JK, Siddhpura NB, Agarwal CS, Shah DP, Kapadia JV (2013) Study on bamboo as reinforcement in cement concrete. Int J Eng Res Appl 3(2):1181–1190.
[3] Leelatanon S, Srivaro S, Matan N (2010) Compressive strength and ductility of short concrete columns reinforced by bamboo. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol 32(4):419–424.
[4] Sakaray H, Togati NVVK, Reddy IVR (2012) Investigation on Properties of Bamboo as Reinforcing Material in Concrete. Int J Eng Res Appl 2(1):77–83.
[5] Javadian A, Wielopolski M, Smith FC, Hebel DE (2016) Bond-behavior study of newly developed bamboo-composite reinforcement in concrete. Constr Build Mater 122:110–117.
[6] Pickering KL, Efendy MGA, Le TM (2016) A review of recent developments in natural fibre composites and their mechanical performance. Compos A Appl Sci Manuf 83:98–112.
[7] Zea Escamilla E, Habert G (2015) Regionalizing the environmental impact of bamboo-based buildings by integrating life cycle assessment with geographic information systems. A comparative case-study in Colombia. World Bamboo Congress, Damyang.
[8] Moroz JG, Lissel SL, Hagel MD (2014) Performance of bamboo reinforced concrete masonry shear walls. Constr Build Mater 61:125–137.
[9] Ahmad S, Raze A, Gupta H (2014) Mechanical properties of bamboo fibre reinforced concrete. In: 2nd international conference on research in science, engineering and technology, Dubai, 21–22 March 2014.
[10] Brindha M, Khan S, Narayanan SS, Kumar AMS, Viviek V (2017) Properties of concrete reinforced with bamboo fibre. Int J Innov Res Sci Eng Technol 6(3):3809–3812.
[11] Liese W, Tang TKH (2015) Preservation and drying of bamboo. In: Liese W, Köhl M (eds) Bamboo. The plant and its uses, vol 10. Springer, Berlin, pp 257–297
[12] Tonoli G, Santos S, Joaquim A, Savastano H (2010) Effect of accelerated carbonation on cementitious roofing tiles reinforced with lignocellulosic fibre. Constr Build Mater 24:193–201.
[13] Toledo Filho R, Scrivener K, England G, Ghavami K (2000) Durability of alkali-sensitive sisal and coconuts fibres in cement mortar composites. Cement Concr Compos 22:127–143.
[14] Hisham HN, Othman S, Rokiah H, Latif MA, Ani S, Tamizi MM (2006) Characterization of bamboo Gigantochloa scortechinii at different ages. Trop For Sci 18(4):236–242.