Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 54, Issue 2, No.4, February : 2025

A MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH FOR WORD GAMES BASED ON GAME THEORY

Prof. Megha Thakar Assistant Professor, Faculty of IT and Computer Science Parul University Mail Id: 1204meghathakar@mail.com
Dr. Nirav D.Trivedi Associate Professor, Balaji College of Commerce , Science , Technology and Management, Balaji Foundation Trust Mail Id: drnt13482@gmail.com

Abstract
This paper explores and compare various artificial intelligence-based techniques used for playing classic board game Scrabble. As the world is evolving around finding quick and efficient techniques for the solution of each problem using Machine learning, gaming industry is also a flourishing industry and has grown 9.8% compared to previous year and has generated a revenue of 26.14 billion dollars through global platforms this year which majorly captures youth and many gamers. Most popular games played online includes PUBG, Ludo, cards etc. Scrabble is being a vocabulary enhancing game and less popular game has attracted its intension of research towards it. The implementation of this imperfect information game has been done through Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm, opponent modelling and Q learning machine learning techniques. Reinforcement learning algorithms are the most suitable algorithm for strategic games. This approach uses Q learning algorithm for the implementation of scrabble game where game theory is the science behind the strategy making for the player playing against human. Game theory strategy can be categorized into two zero sum and non-zero-sum strategy which could be used for various games and Nash equilibrium.

Keywords:
Artificial Intelligence, Machine learning, Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm, Scrabble, Q learning.

1.Introduction

Game strategy used by any player plays a vital role and acts as a deciding factor for winning or losing a game. Researches consistently strives to optimize gameplay, seeking strategies that yield superior outcomes, such as higher scores or an increased likelihood of winning. This optimization endeavour can encompass the development of algorithms, AI players, or machine learning models to facilitate informed decisions during gameplay.[1]
Perfect Information vs. Imperfect Information Games: A fundamental distinction exists between these two games is that a PI game is just like Chinese checker, Go etc. which grant players complete awareness of the game state. In contrast, imperfect information games like Scrabble, poker, or most real-world strategic scenarios involve concealed or uncertain information. Research in imperfect information games often centres on probabilistic models and decision-making amidst uncertainty.[2]
Comparing Gaming Strategies: Research frequently entails the comparison of diverse gaming strategies to assess their efficacy. In perfect information games, this could encompass the evaluation of opening strategies, mid-game tactics, and endgame techniques. In imperfect information games like Scrabble, it may involve scrutinizing word selection, tile management, and the prediction of opponents' moves based on partial information.[3] The Game theory works on Nash Equilibrium which is a study stating that a person can reach to an ideal result by not deviating from the initial strategy. Consider a player who has opted for an action plan choosing their own actions in context of the game, if we consider the actions taken by players up to this point and find that no player can improve their expected outcome by altering their strategy while the other players maintain their existing strategies, then we can conclude that the current combination of strategy choices represents a Nash equilibrium. Representation learning is a way of automatically finding useful and informative representations of data. It is used in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and deep learning (DL). Artificial Intelligence is the broad field of creating intelligent machines, Machine Learning is a subset of AI that focuses on learning from data, and Deep

Learning is a subset of Machine Learning that uses deep neural networks. Representation learning is a crucial component of all these approaches, as it helps to improve the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms by finding representations of data that are easier to learn.[2][3]



Figure 1 Hierarchy of various technologies

The hierarchy of technological advancements has put deep learning to the inner most level while artificial intelligence is the outermost part while other two machine learning and representation learning be the next to innermost and outermost

Machine learning can be divided into four categories depending upon the usability and user requirement for any application. The categorization is supervised, unsupervised, semi supervised and reinforcement learning. Each category of machine learning has various algorithms. In supervised learning machine learns by feeding it labelled data as an input and the exact output. In unsupervised learning machine is given unlabelled data and machine must find out hidden patterns to predict the output. Reinforcement learning is a learning method where an agent learns from environment by getting positive and negative feedback. The agent here is an artificially intelligent and learns from all the positive and negative feedback from the environment. This type has been a great recipe for applications where real time data is used whether gaming industry or any other application just as banking industry or healthcare industry. No trained data set or input is provided it learns by its mistakes.

2.Literature Review

By B. Harrison and D. L. Roberts, Scrabblesque attempts to keep players engaged in the game after they lose an advantage at certain points in the game. This investigation makes use of AI game adaptation and game analysis to extend players' maintenance times by up to 11.3% [4].

Artificial intelligence (AI) and games have a long-standing and solid relationship, with gaming becoming a well-known application area for AI-driven investigation such game playing, game planning, etc. With the advancement of AI gaming programs that have surpassed human capabilities, decency has become a crucial issue that must be addressed to ensure that a game's attraction can be maintained for future players.[5] The setting of turn-based games, where the primary player may have a significant advantage over the following player or players (referred to as the upside of drive), may also exacerbate such a problem. By tackling the Komi (remuneration framework), it suggests a creative way to make a game appealing while remaining realistic. The equity of this arrangement is confirmed by applying the framework to the word-rearranging game Scrabble, where equity can be maintained depending on the players' degree of proficiency.[6]

Research on 5 pt / game had pros over an expert player and the approach was relevant We conducted correlations between a few sets of systems to give the distinction some context. The benchmark was to use the word highest scoring. An expert who incorporates a static leave assessment into the placement of each move defeats a willing opponent on average by 47 points every game [7]. When Strong Player faces off against a comparable Static Player, the imitating expert typically prevails by a margin of about 30 points per game. having the choice to score an additional five points every game on average against such a significant improvement in a player. Five more points every game could have a significant impact in a competitive scenario where standings are based on wins and losses as well as spot on spread. The advantage brought about by adding enemy displays to the games would seem to justify the additional processing cost.[8]

The AI struggled in the latter phases and ultimately fell to Quackle as expected. Maven modest final stage AI might not stand a chance against AI. Finally, during mid-games, it was discovered that this approach was not good enough. However, under the conditions of the final stage, this AI struggled. The three-handle look-a head's long execution time was this research's main drawback.[9] Up until this point, numerous studies have been conducted on games with II Game example Scrabble, and where these have been implemented by many of other online games [10].

Mark and Eyal Amir is an investigation that works by anticipating the opponent and trading precision for efficiency and ease of calculation considering the Bayes hypothesis. Nevertheless, even with this working on the model, we demonstrate a significant play improvement over the current Scrabble algorithm. The results of above research shows that use of Markov decision gives the estimate of immovable replacements and it is a great approach for AI tools when playing against human.[11]

3.Comparative Study

S.No.	Scrabble Game Playing Strategies	Drawbacks
1	Monte Carlo Tree Search Algorithm is used for games like GO.	At critical situation against expert player, it takes wrong move.
2	MAVEN a computer player.	Win Ratio is 70% which can be increased.
3	Opponent Modelling in imperfect information game.	Expectation that the value of information gained through opponent modelling will be the same in all situations.
4	Nash Q learning.	It Gives restriction at different stages of game.
5	Deep Reinforcement Learning in perfect-Information Games.	Requires prior knowledge of the domain

Table 1 Table shows drawbacks of Scrabble Game Playing Strategies

Above table clearly shows the various scrabble game playing strategies done in past researches and research gap in each type of techniques used, next research to be done should use a technique in a such a manner that the research gaps so produced in these researches must be eradicated and hence claiming better method or approach for playing board game such as scrabble. The scrabble implementation using MCTS failed to play against expert player [6]. Maven a computer player has a win ratio of 70% against a human which could be enhanced with minimum of 5%.[7] In OM the information gathered for the opposite player strategy cannot be the same for all types of games [8]. In Nash Q learning algorithm since it is using Q learning algorithm of reinforcement learning it creates barriers at various game levels.[9] When deep learning is implemented in scrabble game it requires prior knowledge which is not done in RL algorithms.[10]

Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 54, Issue 2, No.4, February : 2025

Program	Input features	Value Fn	RL	Training	Search
Chess *Meep*	Binary *Pieces, pawns, ...*	Linear	TreeStrap	Self-Play / Expert	$\alpha\beta$
Checkers *Chinook*	Binary *Pieces, ...*	Linear	TD leaf	Self-Play	$\alpha\beta$
Othello *Logistello*	Binary *Disc configs*	Linear	MC	Self-Play	$\alpha\beta$
Backgammon *TD Gammon*	Binary *Num checkers*	Neural network	TD(λ)	Self-Play	$\alpha\beta$ / MC
Go *MoGo*	Binary *Stone patterns*	Linear	TD	Self-Play	MCTS
Scrabble *Maven*	Binary *Letters on rack*	Linear	MC	Self-Play	MC search
Limit Hold'em *SmooCT*	Binary *Card abstraction*	Linear	MCTS	Self-Play	-

Table 2 Table shows perfect and imperfect information games played using reinforcement algorithm
The above table shows various RL algorithms used for implementing various perfect information game which are typical board games played by AI against human using various RL techniques. The RL algorithm used in the games include monte Carlo tree search algorithm, temporal difference, Tree Strap algorithm and monte Carlo algorithms which are typically decision-making algorithm which are the base for any game.

4.Proposed Methodology

The major methodology used to implement the scrabble the word game for enhancing the vocabulary is the use of game theory and reinforcement learning algorithm. Game theory concept Nash equilibrium decides about the level and there is no variation in level between players. Reinforcement learning is the best algorithms of machine learning to implement any game as it works on feedback procedure. Initially we ask for the game beginning and we have trained our player with minimum 10 complete scrabble games such that it knows how to play the game and win against opponent and to apply the best strategy to gain maximum score for every chance of a game play. Q learning algorithm is used such that for the training of the agent it has got enough of the experience for gaining only positive rewards and gets best score from it. The strategy used is to gain maximum reward. Various functions are used to implement the complete game. The functions made in our programming module includes to initialize the board with all blocks
,second functions takes all letters of rack as input and board tiles as input and makes all possible words that could be made from those letters now the output words are fed to the third function which calculates the score made by these words and arrange them into highest to lowest score now validity of word from scrabble dictionary and then user place highest score word on board and this continues until all the letters are finished in the bag.



Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 54, Issue 2, No.4, February : 2025



Figure 1. Flow Chart for the proposed methodology

Implementation

Implementation of scrabble game using Reinforcement learning requires to train the agent by showing the optimal moves that can be taken to maximize the score.

Step 1: Define the Environment by setting up the scrabble board with all tiles, multipliers and bonuses and the rules for placing the words on board.

Step2: Define the State space by current board position and opponent tile rack. A 2D array is used to represent a scrabble board with letters placed in each position also initialize a list with bonus values.

Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 54, Issue 2, No.4, February : 2025

Step 3: Define Action Space by specifying the valid actions and the tiles that could be placed.
Step 4: Implement the agent by using Q learning algorithm initialize training loop.
(a) Initializing the agent parameter and hyperparameter.
(b) Generate Episodes by starting self play or rule-based opponent.
(c) After each play update the agent's policy and value function.
(d) Design Reward Function with positive value for top score and negative value for low score.
(e) Reward the agent with positive or negative value.
(f) Run the loop until satisfactory level is achieved.
Step 6: Evaluate the trained agent's performance against human or another AI opponent.
Step 7: Fine tune the agent's hyperparameters.

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)\cdot Q(s,a)+\alpha\cdot(r+\gamma\cdot \max a' Q(s',a'))$$

Equation for Q learning to update the Q table
$Q(s,a)$ defines the 's' state and 'a' action values r defines immediate reward
α defines learning rate
γ defines discount factor
maxa'Q(s',a') defines maximum value for the next state

	action0	action 1	action 2	action 3
$state_1$	0	0	0	0
$state_2$	0	0	0	0
$state_3$	0	0	0	0
$state_4$	0	0	0	0

Initial Q table

	action0	action 1	action 2	action 3
$state_1$	0	6	8	5
$state_2$	4	1	3	20
$state_3$	0	2	0	18
$state_4$	4	3	7	15

Final Q table

4.Results



Figure 2: Score analysis of various techniques playing scrabble game.

Results shows when AI based different scrabble game were played against human, they showed the above scores and the graph in above figure shows the score analysis of each strategy depicting that the agent which played using Q learning algorithm was showing best results.



Figure 3: Response time analysis of various techniques playing scrabble game.

The above graph depicts various RL strategy playing scrabble game with their respective average time for responding to each chance. This shows that Nash Equilibrium strategy could give very less response time for the AI player against computer or human.

Conclusion

The major objective of this research is to compare all the gaming strategies used and focus on those which could lead to better results and further research could be done to improvise the results. This research is intendant towards finding the research gaps in various researches being done on machine learning approaches, game theory and how this collaboration could increase the score and hence the win ratio in Scrabble Games. This research will mainly focus on improving the intelligence of computer scrabble game. Comparing the researches done previously are specialized in prediction of the strategies beingimplemented by other player while if focus is on enhancing the and upgrading the agent would be more helpful as more skill full player would be winning the game with higher scores against a computer. After rigorous readings conclusion is that there is a lot of scope of work yet to be done for imperfect information game such as Scrabble.

Future work

When we look at the above research done it has created a platform for implementing the scrabble game using RL algorithms and clearly portraying the research gaps in all previous strategies hence a future work could be creating a RL agent playing AI based scrabble game while creating an environment for it and increasing the win ratio, strategic move against the human player, no requirement of domain knowledge, responsive even in critical situation also no barriers at various levels of games.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to HoD CSE MBM ,Jodhpur India for providing all the resources and motivation for conducting this type of research which is beneficial for future researches and making us conclude a meaning niche from the research.

References

1. Vyas, R., Gahlot, A.S. (2021). A Theoretical Approach to Reinforcement Learning Algorithm and Nash Equilibrium to Maximize the Score in Imperfect Information Game. In: Mathur, R., Gupta, C.P., Katewa, V., Jat, D.S., Yadav, N. (eds) Emerging Trends in Data Driven Computing and Communications. Studies in Autonomic, Data-driven and Industrial Computing. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3915-9_6.
2. Gahlot, A.S., Bhati, V., Vyas, R. (2023). Maximizing the Score and Minimizing the Response Time in Scrabble Game. In: Yang, XS., Sherratt, S., Dey, N., Joshi, A. (eds) Proceedings of Seventh International Congress on Information and Communication Technology. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 464. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-2394- 4_70.

3. Gahlot, A. S., & Vyas, R. (2022). Blockchain in gaming. In Advances in data mining and database management book series (pp. 119–130). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-5072- 7.ch006
4. B. Harrison and D. L. Roberts, "Analytics-driven dynamic game adaption for player retention in Scrabble," *2013 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence in Games (CIG)*, 2013, pp. 1- 8, doi: 10.1109/CIG.2013.6633632.
5. Aung, H.P.P.; Khalid, M.N.A.; Iida, H. What Constitutes Fairness in Games? A Case Study with Scrabble. Information 2021, 12, 352. https://doi.org/10.3390/info12090352
6. Shapiro SC, Smith HR (1988) A scrabble crossword game-playing program computer games I, pp 403–419.
7. Aiolli F, Palazzi CE (2019) Enhancing artificial intelligence in games by learning the opponent's playing style. In: IFIP international federation for information processing, [online] pp 1–10.
8. Cheng C, Zhu Z, Xin B, Chen C (2017) A multi-agent reinforcement learning algorithm based on Stackelberg game. In: Conference: 2017 6th data driven control and learning systems (DDCLS), p 727.https://doi.org/10.1109/DDCLS.2017.8068163.
9. Vrabie D, Lewis FL (2011) Integral reinforcement learning for finding online the feedback nash equilibrium of nonzero-sum differential games. Adv Reinf Learn. https://doi.org/10.5772/ 13804.
10. A.A. A. Abass, N. B. Mandayam and Z. Gajic, "Evolutionary Random-Access Game with Objective and Subjective Players," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 35562-35572, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3062212.
11. Richards, Mark & Amir, Eyal. (2007). Opponent Modeling in Scrabble.IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 1482-1487.
12. P. Li and H. Zhang, "Prediction of Scrabble Game Results Based on Gradient Boosting Decision Tree and time Series Model," *2023 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing and Computer Applications (ICIPCA)*, Changchun, China, 2023, pp. 1816-1822, doi: 10.1109/ICIPCA59209.2023.10257831.
13. Johan, M., Sanjaya, S., Brata, A., & Brillyant, A. (2023). Gamification to Study Machine Learning using Octalysis Framework. Ultimatics : Jurnal Teknik Informatika, 15(1), 35-43. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31937/ti.v15i1.3118
14. A. Fahlevi, N. Jalil, C. Faiza, M. Defianty, N. Mahmud and V. L. Lestari, "The Effect of Using Scrabble Mobile Phone Games to Develop Students' Vocabulary Knowledge," *2022 International Conference on Science and Technology (ICOSTECH)*, Batam City, Indonesia, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICOSTECH54296.2022.9829061.
15. Q. He, R. Liu and J. Li, "Revisiting Word Prediction: A GCN and ARIMA Framework for Wordle Mining," *2023 IEEE International Conference on Sensors, Electronics and Computer Engineering (ICSECE)*, Jinzhou, China, 2023, pp. 751-757, doi: 10.1109/ICSECE58870.2023.10263401.
16. Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Wang, W. et al. A Monte Carlo Neural Fictitious Self-Play approach to approximate Nash Equilibrium in imperfect-information dynamic games. Front. Comput. Sci. 15, 155334 (2021).
17. A. Benbassat and M. Sipper, "EvoMCTS: Enhancing MCTS-based players through genetic programming," *2013 IEEE Conference on Computational Inteligence in Games (CIG)*, 2013, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/CIG.2013.6633631.
18. .F. Li and Y. Du, "From AlphaGo to Power System AI: What Engineers Can Learn from Solving the Most Complex Board Game," in *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 76-84, March-April 2018, doi: 10.1109/MPE.2017.2779554
19. M. A. Samsuden, N. M. Diah and N. A. Rahman, "A Review Paper on Implementing Reinforcement Learning Technique in Optimising Games Performance," 2019 IEEE 9th International Conference on System Engineering and Technology (ICSET), Shah Alam, Malaysia, 2019, pp. 258-263, doi: 10.1109/ICSEngT.2019.8906400.
20. K. Arulkumaran, M. P. Deisenroth, M. Brundage and A. A. Bharath, "Deep Reinforcement Learning: A Brief Survey," in IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 26-38, Nov. 2017