

ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

ON FOLDNESS OF NEUTROSOPHIC FUZZY H-IDEAL IN BCK-ALGEBRA

 Satyanarayana Bavanari, Professor, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: <u>drbsn63@yahoo.co.in</u> Bhuvaneswari Dhanala, Anjaneyulu Naik Kalavath, Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: bhuvanadhanala9328@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal in BCK-algebra, deriving foundational properties, theorems that pave the way for advancements in algebraic structures and fuzzy logic. **Keywords**: Neutrosophic Fuzzy Sets (NFS's), BCK-algebras, n-fold H-ideal (nHI), Neutrosophic Fuzzy n-fold H-Ideal (NFnHI), Neutrosophic Fuzzy n-fold closed H-ideal (NFnCLHI). **MSC**: 03E72, 03F55, 03G25

I. Introduction

Iseki & Tanaka [5, 6] introduced BCK-algebraic theory introduction and also develops ideal theory of BCK-algebras. Zadeh's fuzzy sets [12] generalized crisp sets. Fuzzy set contains degree of truth membership. [2, 3] Atanassov's introduced the novel concept of fuzzy that is an intuitionistic fuzzy sets, this type of fuzzy sets contain degree of truth membership and degree of non-memberships. In this area many researchers are given number of inventories research papers. In [8] Jun et.al., introduced an intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BCK-algebras. In [9, 10] Satyanarayana et.al., generalized the concept of product and direct product of intuitionistic fuzzy BCK-algebras. [7] Zhan and Tan's introduced characterization of fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras in doubt manner of fuzzy sets. In 2025, [1, 4] authors developed a novel concept of an interval-valued neutrosophic fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of hyper BCK-algebras and also introduced derivations & translations of neutrosophic fuzzy positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebra. Subsequent studies by Satyanarayana et.al., [11] examined foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras. In this article, we introduced neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal in BCK-algebra, and studied their fundamental properties.

In this paper, we used the following abbreviations:

- BCK-A (or) \mathfrak{A} : BCK-algebras
- NFnHI : neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideals
- NFS : Neutrosophic fuzzy sets
- NFI : neutrosophic fuzzy ideals
- nHI : n-fold H-ideals
- NFnCLHI : neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold closed H-ideals
- IFS : intuitionistic fuzzy set
- IFI : intuitionistic fuzzy ideals
- IFCLI : intuitionistic fuzzy closed ideals
- FHI : fuzzy H-ideals
- IFHI : intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals
- IFnHI : intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold H-ideals
- IFCLHI : intuitionistic fuzzy closed H-ideals
- IFnCLHI : intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold closed H-ideals
- FnHI : fuzzy n-fold H-ideals
- NFHI : neutrosophic fuzzy H-ideals



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

II. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic information, which are used in this paper developmentation. A BCK-A is a non-empty set \mathfrak{A} with a binary operation A and a constant 0 satisfying the follow

A BCK-A is a non-empty set \mathfrak{A} with a binary operation δ and a constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

 $(\mathcal{BCK1})\left((\mathbb{f} \& g) \& (\mathbb{f} \& \hbar)\right) \leq (\hbar \& g),$

 $(\mathcal{BCK2}) \left(\mathbb{f} \Diamond (\mathbb{f} \Diamond g) \right) \leq g,$

 $(\mathcal{BCK3})$ f \leq f,

 $(\mathcal{BCK4}) \mathbb{f} \leq g, g \leq f \Rightarrow f = g,$

 $(\mathcal{BCK5}) \ 0 \le f$, where $f \le g$ is defined $f \ g = 0$, for all $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{A}$

A non-empty subset \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{A} is called an ideal of \mathfrak{A} if $0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and if $\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{I} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{I}$.

We note that if f is an ideal \Im of \mathfrak{A} and $g \leq f$, then $g \in \Im$.

An ideal \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{A} is called closed, if $0 \notin \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{I}$ whenever $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{I}$.

A mapping $\psi: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ BCK-A's is called a homomorphism, if $\psi(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{g}) = \psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond \psi(\mathfrak{g})$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

For any element $f, g \in \mathfrak{A}$, let us write $f \notin g^k$ for $(\dots((f \notin g) \notin g) \notin \dots) \notin g$, where g occurs k times.

Definition 2.1. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) \mathfrak{M} in a non-empty set \mathfrak{A} is an object having the form $\mathfrak{M} = \{(\mathfrak{f}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})) | \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}\}$, where the functions $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1]$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1]$ denoted the degree of membership (namely $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$) and the degree of non membership (namely $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$) of each element $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$ to the set \mathfrak{M} respectively, and $0 \leq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) + \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq 1$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.2. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (IFI) of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies the following axioms:

(**IFI1**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$,

 $(\mathbf{IFI2}) \, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{g}), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(**IFI3**) $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}\$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.3. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed ideal (IFCLI) of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies

(IFI2), (IFI3) and the following:

(**IFCLI**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \diamond \mathfrak{f}) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \diamond \mathfrak{f}) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.4. A $(\neq \emptyset)$ subset \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{A} is called a H-ideal of \mathfrak{A} , if $0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and

 $\mathbb{f} \, \emptyset \, (g \, \emptyset \, \hbar), g \in \mathfrak{I} \Rightarrow \, \mathbb{f} \, \emptyset \, \hbar \in \mathfrak{I}.$

Definition 2.5. A fuzzy subset ξ of \mathfrak{A} is called a fuzzy H-ideal (FHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if $\xi(0) \ge \xi(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\xi(\mathfrak{f} \land h) \ge \min\{\xi(\mathfrak{f} \land \mathfrak{g} \land h), \xi(\mathfrak{g})\}$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.6. Let ξ be a fuzzy set (FS) of \mathfrak{A} . The complement of ξ is denoted by $\overline{\xi}$ and is defined as $\overline{\xi}(\mathfrak{f}) = 1 - \xi(\mathfrak{f})$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) of \mathfrak{A} . Then

(i) $\diamond \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ and

(ii)
$$\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$$

Definition 2.8. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideal (IFHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(**IFHI1**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$,

(**IFHI2**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(IFHI3) $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}.$

Definition 2.9. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed H-ideal (IFCLHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies (IFHI2), (IFHI3) and

(**IFCLHI**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{f}) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{f}) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$.





ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) of \mathfrak{A} . The set $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s) = \{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq s\}$ is called upper *s*-level of $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and the set $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v) = \{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq v\}$ is called lower v-level of $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Definition 2.11. A non-empty sub-set \mathfrak{I} of \mathfrak{A} is called an n-fold H-ideal (nHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(i) $0 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and

(ii) \exists a fixed $n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{f} \notin (\mathfrak{g} \notin h^n), \mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{I} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \notin h^n \in \mathfrak{I}$ for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}$. **Note:** Every n-fold H-ideal (nHI) is an ideal.

Definition 2.12. A Fuzzy subset ξ of \mathfrak{A} is called a fuzzy n-fold H-ideal (FnHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(i) $\xi(0) \ge \xi(f)$ and

(ii) \exists a fixed $n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\xi(\mathfrak{f} \land h^n) \ge \min\{\xi(\mathfrak{f} \land (\mathfrak{g} \land h^n)), \xi(\mathfrak{g})\}$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.13. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal (IFnHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(**IFnHI1**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \exists a \text{ fixed } n \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ such that}$

(**IFnHI2**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{A}^n) \ge \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \mathfrak{A}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(**IFnHI3**) $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \land \mathbb{h}^n) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \land (\mathfrak{g} \land \mathbb{h}^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{h} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 2.14. Every intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal (IFnHI) is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (IFI).

Definition 2.15. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold closed H-ideal (IFnCLHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies (IFnHI2), (IFnHI3) and (IFnCLHI) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \delta \ \mathfrak{f}) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \delta \ \mathfrak{f}) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

A Neutrosophic fuzzy set (NFS) \mathfrak{M} in a non-empty set \mathfrak{A} is an object having the form

 $\mathfrak{M} = \{ (\mathfrak{f}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})) | \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \}, \text{ where the functions } \xi_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1], \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1] \text{ and } \\ \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{A} \to [0, 1] \text{ denoted the degree of membership (namely } \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})), \text{ the degree of indeterminacy } \\ \text{membership (namely } \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})) \text{ and the degree of non membership (namely } \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})) \text{ of each element } \\ \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ to the set } \mathfrak{M} \text{ respectively, and } 0 \leq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) + \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) + \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq 3, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}. \end{cases}$

Definition 2.16. A neutrosophic fuzzy set (NFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called a neutrosophic fuzzy H-ideal (NFHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(NFHI1) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \text{ and } \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$

(NFHI2) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

 $(\mathbf{NFHI3}) \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{d} \hbar) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{d} (\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{d} \hbar)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(NFHI4) $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}.$

III. Neutrosophic Fuzzy n-fold H-ideals of BCK-Algebra

In this section we developed the concept of neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideals in \mathfrak{A} and also proved their related definition, counter examples and some theorems.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic fuzzy set (NFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is called a neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal (NFnHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if

(NFnHI1) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \exists a \text{ fixed } n \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ such that}$

(NFnHI2) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \Diamond \mathbb{A}^n) \ge \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathbb{A}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(NFnHI3) $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g} (\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{h}^n)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$

(NFnHI4) $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{A}.$

Definition 3.2. A neutrosophic fuzzy set (NFS) $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} is said to be a neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold closed H-ideal (NFnCLHI) of \mathfrak{A} , if it satisfies (NFnHI2), (NFnHI3), (NFnHI4) and

(**NFnCLHI**) $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{f}) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{f}) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \text{ and } \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0 \ \mathfrak{g} \ \mathfrak{f}) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}.$

Proposition 3.3. Every NFnHI is a NFI.

Proof: Assume the NFnHI defined as $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ of \mathfrak{A} .



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025 Sequently, we obtain $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$ $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{A}.$ Given any $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, $f \notin 0^n = f$, thus with the setting of h = 0, we attain $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \land (\mathfrak{g} \land 0^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $= \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},\$ $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond 0^n)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}\$ $= \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{g}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \land (\mathfrak{g} \land 0^{n})), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}\$ $= \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \notin \mathfrak{g}), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$ Accordingly, $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFI of \mathfrak{A} . **Note:** Suppose $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . Then it is straightforward to see that $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h^n) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (0 \diamond h^n))$, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (0 \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n))$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (0 \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{A}.$ **Lemma 3.4.** If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFHI of \mathfrak{A} , then we have the following $\mathfrak{f} \leq \mathfrak{k} \Rightarrow$ $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \text{ and } \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}.$ **Proof:** Let $f, f \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $f \leq f \Rightarrow f \notin f = 0$. Consider $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \ge \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})\}$ $= \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \mathfrak{k}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k})\}\$ $=\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k}),$ $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \ \emptyset \ 0) \ge \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \ \emptyset \ (\mathfrak{f} \ \emptyset \ 0)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})\}$ $= \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond \mathfrak{k}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k})\}\$ $= \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k}),$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \le \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})\}$ $= \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \notin \mathfrak{k}), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k})\}\$ $= \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k}).$ **Theorem 3.5.** Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . Then so is $\Diamond \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}})$. **Proof:** We have $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow \overline{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \le \overline{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \text{ for any } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}.$ Consider, for any $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \wedge \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \circ (\mathfrak{g} \not \wedge \mathfrak{h}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $\Rightarrow 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond h^n) \geq \min\{1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond h^n)), 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}\mathfrak{g}\}\$ $\Rightarrow \bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \notin \mathbb{A}^n) \le 1 - \min\{1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \notin (\mathfrak{g} \notin \mathbb{A}^n)), 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $\Rightarrow \bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \, \emptyset \, \mathbb{A}^n) \leq \max\{\bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f} \, \emptyset \, (\mathfrak{g} \, \emptyset \, \mathbb{A}^n)), \bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$ Similarly, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h^n) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h^n)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$ Hence, $\Diamond \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . **Theorem 3.6.** Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . Then so is $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$. Proof: We have $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \leq 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}), \text{ for any } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}.$ Consider, for any $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $\Rightarrow 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \circ h^n) \le \max\{1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \circ (\mathfrak{g} \not \circ h^n)), 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \circ h^n) \ge 1 - \max\{1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not \circ (\mathfrak{g} \not \circ h^n)), 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \min\{\overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

Similarly, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond h^n) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond h^n)), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$. Hence $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . **Theorem 3.7.** Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be a NFnHI of $\mathfrak{A} \Leftrightarrow \diamond \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$, and $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ are NFnHI's of \mathfrak{A} .

Proof: Theorem proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.5 & 3.6. **Theorem 3.8.** If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of \mathfrak{A} , then so is $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$. **Proof:** For any $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \ge 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ $\Rightarrow \bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \not o 0) \leq \bar{\xi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}).$ Similarly, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$. Hence $\& \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of \mathfrak{A} . **Theorem 3.9.** If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of \mathfrak{A} , then so is $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$. **Proof:** For any $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \Rightarrow 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \leq 1 - \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$ $\Rightarrow \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \geq \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}).$ Similarly, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond 0) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})$. Hence $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of \mathfrak{A} . **Theorem 3.10.** If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of $\mathfrak{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}})$ and $\Delta \mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\varpi}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ are NFnCLHI's of \mathfrak{A} . **Theorem 3.11.** $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of $\mathfrak{A} \Leftrightarrow$ the non-empty upper *s*-level cut $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s), t$ -level cut $\mathcal{U}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}; t)$ and the non-empty lower v-level cut $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ are nHI's of \mathfrak{A} , for any $s, t, v \in [0,1]$. **Proof:** Suppose $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . For any $s, t, v \in [0,1]$, define the sets $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s) = \{ \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq s \}, \mathcal{U}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}; t) = \{ \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \geq t \} \text{ and }$ $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v) = \{ \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq v \}.$ Since $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v) \neq \emptyset$, for $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ $\Rightarrow \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}) \leq v \Rightarrow \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \leq v \Rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v). \text{ Let } \mathfrak{f} \, \mathfrak{g} \, (\mathfrak{g} \, \mathfrak{g} \, \hbar^n) \in \mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v) \Rightarrow \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond h^n)) \leq v \text{ and } \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq v.$ Since, for all f, g, $\hbar \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g} \land \hbar^n) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \land (\mathfrak{g} \land \hbar^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\} \leq \max\{v, v\} = v$ $\Rightarrow \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g} \land h^n) \leq v$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g} \land h^n \in \mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$, for all $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, h \in \mathfrak{A}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ is nHI of \mathfrak{A} . Similarly, we can prove $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}; t)$ are nHI's of \mathfrak{A} . Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s)$, $\mathcal{U}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}; t)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ are nHI's of \mathfrak{A} , for any $s, t, v \in [0,1]$. If possible, assume $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{r}, c \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) < \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) < \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{r})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) > \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(c)$. Put, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) + \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f})]$ $\Rightarrow s_0 < \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k}), \, 0 \leq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) < s_0 < 1$ $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; \mathfrak{s}_0).$ Since $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0)$ is nHI of \mathfrak{A} , we have $0 \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0) \Rightarrow \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \ge s_0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k})$, for all $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Similarly by taking $t_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) + \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{F})]$ and $v_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) + \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{C})],$ we can show $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \leq \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(c)$, for all $\mathcal{V}, c \in \mathfrak{A}$. If possible assume $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{r}, c \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond c^n) < \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond (\mathfrak{r} \diamond c^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{r})\}$. Put, $s_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \otimes c^n) + \min \{ \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \otimes (r \otimes c^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(r) \} \right]$ $\Rightarrow s_0 > \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond c^n) \text{ and } s_0 < \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond (\mathfrak{r} \diamond c^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{r})\}$ $\Rightarrow s_0 > \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^n), s_0 < \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \otimes (\mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^n)) \text{ and } s_0 < \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{r})$ $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \diamond c^n \notin \mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0), \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \diamond (r \diamond c^n) \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0) \text{ and } r \in \mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0),$

which is a contradiction to nHI $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s_0)$.



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

Therefore, $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^n) \geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \otimes (\mathfrak{r} \otimes \mathfrak{c}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{r})\}\$, for any $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Similarly we can $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n}) \geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (r \diamond c^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(r)\} \quad \text{and} \quad$ $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond c^{n}) \leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{k} \diamond (\mathscr{V} \diamond))\}$ prove (c^n) , $\overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{M})$, for any $\mathfrak{k}, \mathcal{M}, c \in \mathfrak{A}$. Hence $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} .

Theorem 3.12. If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnCLHI of $\mathfrak{A} \Leftrightarrow$ the non-empty upper *s*-level cut $\mathcal{U}(\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}; s)$, upper t-level cut $\mathcal{U}(\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}; t)$ and the non-empty lower v-level cut $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}; v)$ are nCLHI's of \mathfrak{A} , for any $s, t, v \in [0,1]$.

Corollary 3.13. If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} , then the sets

 $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{f}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{0}) \}, \ \mathbf{M} = \{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{f}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbf{0}) \} \text{ and }$

$$\mathbb{R} = \{ \mathbb{f} \in \mathfrak{A} | \ \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{f}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \} \text{ are nHI's of } \mathfrak{A}.$$

Proof: Since $0 \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0)$, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0)$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0)$

 $\Rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \in \mathbb{M} \text{ and } 0 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ so } \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset, \mathbb{M} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset.$

Let
$$f \ (g \ h^n) \in \mathcal{A}$$
 and $g \in \mathcal{A}$

 $\Rightarrow \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \Diamond (\mathfrak{g} \Diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \text{ and } \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0).$

Since $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \ge \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n)), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\} = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0)$

 $\Rightarrow \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0), \text{ but } \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0) \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \mathfrak{h}^n).$

It follows that $\mathbb{f} \notin \mathbb{A}^n \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $\mathbb{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{h} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Hence \mathcal{A} is nHI of \mathfrak{A} . Similarly we can prove \mathbb{M} , \mathbb{R} are nHI of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 3.14. Let $\psi: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ be a homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} . For any NFS $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}', \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\omega_{\mathfrak{M}}})$, we define a new NFS $\mathfrak{M}^{\psi} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi})$ in \mathfrak{A} by $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}))$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f})), \text{ for all } \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{A}.$

Theorem 3.15. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}' be BCK-A's and ψ a homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{A}' .

If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A}' then $\mathfrak{M}^{\psi} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . (i)

If $\mathfrak{M}^{\psi} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} then $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A}' . (ii)

Proof: (i) For
$$f' \in \mathfrak{A}' \exists f \in \mathfrak{A}$$
 such that $\mathfrak{f}(f) = f'$, we have
 $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(0) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(0)) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0') \ge \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(f') = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f)) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f),$
 $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(0) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(0)) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(0') \ge \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(f') = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f)) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f), \text{ and}$
 $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(0) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(0)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0') \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(f') = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f), \text{ and}$
 $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(0) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(0)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0') \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(f') = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f), \text{ and}$
 $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(0) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(0)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(0') \le \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(f') = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f)) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f), \text{ and}$
 $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ \Lambda^{n}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(g'), \text{ and}$
 $= \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(g))\}, \text{ and} \{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(g')\}, \text{ and} \{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(g))\}, \text{ and} \{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(g))\}, \text{ and} \{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(g))\}, \text{ and} \{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f) \ \delta \ (g' \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)\}, \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n}))) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n})) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n}))) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n}))) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n}))) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \ (\psi(\Lambda))^{n}), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)), \text{ and} \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(f \ \delta \ (\pi^{n}))) = \pi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(f) \ \delta \$

$$\begin{split} \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathbb{f} \, \& \, \mathbb{A}^{n}) &= \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathbb{f} \, \& \, \mathbb{A}^{n})) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathbb{f}) \, \& \, (\psi(\mathbb{A}))^{n}) \\ &\leq \max\left\{ \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}\left(\psi(\mathbb{f}) \, \& \, (g' \, \& \, (\psi(\mathbb{A}))^{n})\right), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(g')\right\}, \\ &= \max\left\{ \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}\left(\psi(\mathbb{f}) \, \& \, (\psi(g) \, \& \, (\psi(\mathbb{A}))^{n})\right), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(g))\right\}, \\ &= \max\left\{ \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathbb{f} \, \& \, (g \, \& \, \mathbb{A}^{n})), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(g)\right\}. \end{split}$$



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

Hence $\mathfrak{M}^{\psi} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A} . (ii) Since $\psi: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ onto, for $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \hbar \in \mathfrak{A}' \exists \mathfrak{k}, r, c \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\psi(\mathfrak{f}) = d, \psi(r) = e$ and $\psi(c) = \mathfrak{f}$. $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \hbar^{n}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\psi(c))^{n}) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})) = \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})$ $\geq \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (r \diamond c^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(r)\},$ $= \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\mathfrak{f}(r) \diamond (\psi(c))^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(r))\},$ $= \min\{\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \hbar^{n})), \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\},$ $\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \hbar^{n}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\psi(c))^{n}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})$ $\geq \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (r \diamond c^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(r)\},$ $= \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\psi(r) \diamond (\psi(c))^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(r))\},$ $= \min\{\zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (\mathfrak{g} \diamond \hbar^{n})), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond \hbar^{n}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\psi(c))^{n}) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})) = \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})$ $\leq \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(\mathfrak{f} \diamond (r \diamond c^{n})), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\psi}(r)\},$ $= \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f}) \diamond (\psi(r))^{n}) = \omega_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(\mathfrak{f} \diamond c^{n})), \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{M}}(\psi(r))\},$

Hence $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \overline{\omega}_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NFnHI of \mathfrak{A}' .

 $= \max\{\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f} \notin (\mathfrak{g} \notin h^n)), \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g})\}.$

Definition 3.16. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ be a neutrosophic fuzzy set (NFS) of \mathfrak{A} then we say that $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}$ has 'Sup' property, if for any subset $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ there exists $\mathfrak{f}_0 \in \mathbb{S}, \mathfrak{g}_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{f}_0) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{S}} \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}(s), \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}(t)$, and we say that $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ has ' inf ' property, if for any subset $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ there exists $\mathfrak{h}_0 \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{V}} \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}(v)$.

Theorem 3.17. Let $\psi: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ be an onto homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} . If $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{A}, \xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}, \varpi_{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a NnHI of \mathfrak{A} with $\xi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{M}}$ has 'sup' property and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ has ' inf ' property then the image of \mathfrak{M} under ψ is also NnHI of \mathfrak{A}' .

IV. Conclusion

We successfully discussed Definitions and counter examples of neutrosophic fuzzy n-fold H-ideal of BCK-algebra, and we give some necessary and sufficient conditions related to H-ideal and closed H-ideals of BCK-algebra and also discussed their related properties.

References

[1] Anjaneyulu Naik. K.; Bhuvaneswari. D.; Satyanarayana. B. On interval-valued neutrosophic fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal and implicative hyper BCK-ideal of hyper BCK-algebras, Industrial Engineering Journal 2025, 54(2)(1), 48-66.

[2] Atanassov. K.T, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1986, 20, 87-96.

[3] Atanassov. K.T. New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1994, 61, 137-142.

[4] Bhuvaneswari. D.; Anjaneyulu Naik. K.; Satyanarayana. B. Derivations and translations of neutrosophic fuzzy positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebra, Industrial Engineering Journal 2025, 54(2)(1), 67-85.

[5] Iseki. K.; Tanaka. S. An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon 1978, 23, 1-26.

[6] Iseki. K.; Tanaka. S. Ideal theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 1976, 21, 351-366.

[7] Jianming Zhan.; Zhisong Tan. Characterizations of doubt fuzzy H-ideal in BCK-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics 2003, 29, 290- 293.



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 54, Issue 4, No.3, April : 2025

[8] Jun. Y.B.; Kim K.H. Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of BCK-algebras, Internal J. Math. & Mtha. Sci. 2000, 24, 839-849.

[9] Satyanarayana. B.; Durga prasad. R. Product of intuitionistic fuzzy BCK-algebras, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics 2009, 4, 1-8.

[10] Satyanarayana. B.; Durga Prasad. R. Direct product of intuitionistic fuzzy BCK-algebras, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 2009, 5(2), 125-138.

[11] Satyanarayana. B.; Bindu Madhavi. U.; Durga Prasad. R. On foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras, International Mathematical Forum 2010, 5(45), 2205-2211.

[12] Zadeh. L.A. Fuzzy sets, Information Control 1965, 8, 338-353.